

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/020,358	10/30/2001	Eiji Kawai	09812.0484-00000.	2712	
22852 7590 1002009099 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON. DC 20001-4413			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			MISKA, VIT W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
111011111111111111111111111111111111111			2833	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/20/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/020,358	KAWAI, EIJI	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	THANH S. PHAN	2833	

Ų	Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Application	No.
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence ad	dress
	THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
	1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abs application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the folk periods:	which places the or (3) a Request
	a) A The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, with no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final reject Examiner Note: (16 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	tion.
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate version of the petition of the date for purposes of determining the penod of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate form of the period of the	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
	2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two mont filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since a
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered be (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	ecause
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying appeal; and/or	the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendments.	ent concelling the
	non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an entered a	•
	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	explanation of
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
	AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will no because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	s necessary and
	9. The affidavit or other evidence field after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appelland showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)()	ils to provide a
	10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attact REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
	☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allows ☐ The applicant's arguments are not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments, one cannot show non-but attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. In re Keller, 644	iousness by
	USPQ871 (CCPA 1981). In the instant case, Kawamoto teaches a system for distributing and processing various does not explicitly teach that the information depicts current time and advertisement. Hepp teaches a system/de	us information, but
	transmitting/distributing of watch information which is to despict a current time. Mankoff teaches a method and selectronic downloaded electronic couporadevertising banner to a portable electronic device such as a PDA. All part are known in the prior art. The only different is the combination of the "old elements" into a single device by them only a single device. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the	of the component
	mem onto a single device. Inus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine to record to achieve the predictable result of a information display device which is capable of displaying time inform advertisement information at the same time. The prior art of record reads on the invention as claimed	
	12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
1	142 C Ottor	

Application No.

/Felix O. Figueroa/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2833

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090719